

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,870	10/12/2001	Ronald Arthur DuBose	ETACI	9713
6980	7590 03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	N SANDERS LLP MERICA PLAZA, SUI	ГЕ 5200	SPITZER, ROBERT H	
600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, GA 30308-2216			1724	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/975,870	DUBOSE ET AL.
·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a the shape the allowers the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailib The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther later than SIX MONTHS from the mailings FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market status of the shortened status	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ul> <li>imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ul>	's Brief must be filed within the p	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t	,	л те арреат.
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below):
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ⊠ they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-40</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	oroved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
0. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		DO 11 CA
	1	Robert H. Spitzer
		Primary Examiner
Patent and Trademark Office	Δ.	Art Unit: 1724
OL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advi	sory Action	Part of Paper No. 0315

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Amendment is prima facie not enterable because of new claim 41, which was submitted without canceling a corresponding finally rejected claim. Also, claims 14-16 would remain indefinite because there still would not be any antecedent basis for the recitation of "the enhancement technique", as including language to "an enhancement system" does not provide a "technique". Also, claims 22 and 24 remain indefinite because of lack of antecedent basis for the recitations, as pointed out in the 01/29/04 Office action. The proposed amendment to page 12, the second full paragraph is not complete.